

Australian Government

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water

EPBC 2021/8908

Director - Investments MREH Common AssetCo Pty Ltd 36 Esplanade Brighton Melbourne VIC 3186

Approval of revised offset management plan for Melton Renewable Energy Hub, Plumpton, Victoria (EPBC 2021/8908)

Dear

Thank you for your email dated 8 November 2023 to the Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (the department), seeking approval of the revised offset management plan, in accordance with condition 6 of the above project under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act).

Officers of the department have advised me on the revised offset management plan and the requirements of the conditions of the approval for this project. On this basis, and as a delegate of the Minister for the Environment and Water (the Minister), I have decided to approve the *Striped Legless Lizard* (Delma impar) *Offset Management Plan – EPBC 2021/8908 Heathcote – Redesdale Road, Mia Mia Victoria, Version 6, 20 November 2024*.

The department's review of the plan has only considered the changes requested and has not assessed the adequacy of the plan against the *Environment Management Plan Guidelines* 2014 (EMP Guidelines). Future revisions of the plan may need to incorporate any necessary updates to meet the EMP Guidelines. As this plan has now been approved, it must be implemented. The approved plan must also be published in accordance with your conditions of approval.

As you are aware, the department has an active monitoring program which includes monitoring inspections, desk top document reviews and audits. Please ensure that you maintain accurate records of all activities associated with, or relevant to, the conditions of approval so that they can be made available to the department on request.

Should you require any further information please contact <u>PostApproval@dcceew.gov.au</u>.

or by email to

1

Yours sincerely

Mike Smith Director, Post Approvals Strategy Section Environment Assessments (Vic, Tas) and Post Approvals Branch 13 December 2024

DCCEEW.gov.au John Gorton Building - King Edward Terrace, Parkes ACT 2600 Australia GPO Box 3090 Canberra ACT 2601 ABN: 63 573 932 849 LET 510 v3.3

OFFICIAL

STRIPED LEGLESS LIZARD (*DELMA IMPAR*) OFFSET MANAGEMENT PLAN – EPBC 2021/8908 HEATHCOTE – REDESDALE ROAD, MIA MIA VICTORIA



LIVING RURAL CENTRAL VICTORIA

Bushfire & biodiversity assessments

Mal Wright Principal Ecologist 0473 000 996 | <u>mal@livingrural.com.au</u>

Report 202225.6 Issued 20/11/2024

CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION1
2.	OFFSET SITE SUITABILITY
	Impacts on the Striped Legless Lizard
	Offset site details
	Striped Legless Lizard known habitats6
	Biodiversity values of the offset site6
	Threats to be managed in the offset site10
	Previous land management10
	Pest plants (weeds)10
	Pest animals10
	Meeting EPBC Act offset requirements10
3.	CALCULATING EPBC ACT OFFSET REQUIREMENTS13
	Holden Road property
	Highwood Drive property
	Mia Mia offset site14
^	OFFSET IMPLEMENTATION
4.	
4.	Strategy for offset site
4.	
4.	Strategy for offset site
4.	Strategy for offset site
4.	Strategy for offset site 15 Ongoing land-use commitments & management actions 15 Baseline surveys 15
4.	Strategy for offset site 15 Ongoing land-use commitments & management actions 15 Baseline surveys 15 Management actions to be undertaken 15
4.	Strategy for offset site 15 Ongoing land-use commitments & management actions 15 Baseline surveys 15 Management actions to be undertaken 15 Fencing 15
4.	Strategy for offset site 15 Ongoing land-use commitments & management actions 15 Baseline surveys 15 Management actions to be undertaken 15 Fencing 15 Weed control 16
4.	Strategy for offset site15Ongoing land-use commitments & management actions15Baseline surveys15Management actions to be undertaken15Fencing15Weed control16Biomass management/annual grassy weed control17
4.	Strategy for offset site15Ongoing land-use commitments & management actions15Baseline surveys15Management actions to be undertaken15Fencing15Weed control16Biomass management/annual grassy weed control17Pest animal control18
4.	Strategy for offset site15Ongoing land-use commitments & management actions15Baseline surveys15Management actions to be undertaken15Fencing15Weed control16Biomass management/annual grassy weed control17Pest animal control18Monitoring and reporting19
4.	Strategy for offset site 15 Ongoing land-use commitments & management actions 15 Baseline surveys 15 Management actions to be undertaken 15 Fencing 15 Weed control 16 Biomass management/annual grassy weed control 17 Pest animal control 18 Monitoring and reporting 19 Habitat condition monitoring. 19
4.	Strategy for offset site 15 Ongoing land-use commitments & management actions 15 Baseline surveys 15 Management actions to be undertaken 15 Fencing 15 Weed control 16 Biomass management/annual grassy weed control 17 Pest animal control 18 Monitoring and reporting 19 Habitat condition monitoring. 19 Striped Legless Lizard monitoring 19
4.	Strategy for offset site 15 Ongoing land-use commitments & management actions 15 Baseline surveys 15 Management actions to be undertaken 15 Fencing 15 Weed control. 16 Biomass management/annual grassy weed control 17 Pest animal control 18 Monitoring and reporting. 19 Habitat condition monitoring. 19 Striped Legless Lizard monitoring 19 Pest animal and fence monitoring 19
4.	Strategy for offset site15Ongoing land-use commitments & management actions15Baseline surveys15Management actions to be undertaken15Fencing15Weed control16Biomass management/annual grassy weed control17Pest animal control18Monitoring and reporting19Habitat condition monitoring19Striped Legless Lizard monitoring19Pest animal and fence monitoring19Annual reporting19

LIVING RURAL Bushfire & native vegetation assessments

Striped Legless Lizard (*Delma impar*) Offset Management Plan – EPBC 2021/8908

TABLES

Table 1: Meeting the EPBC Act offset requirements	.11
Table 2: Habitat quality at the Holden Road impact site	.13
Table 3: Habitat quality at the Highwood Drive impact site	.14
Table 4: Habitat quality and projected gains at the offset site	.14
Table 5: Weeds recorded in the offset site including control methods and timing	.16
Table 6: Management actions schedule	.21

FIGURES

Figure 1: Location of offset site4	
Figure 2: Offset site and Striped Legless Lizard detections5	

APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Flora species recorded in the offset site	28
Appendix 2: EPBC Act Offset Guide calculators – Holden Road impact site & Highwood Drive imp site	•
Appendix 3: EPBC Act Offset Guide calculator justification of values	30
Appendix 4: Striped Legless Lizard Habitat Quality Assessment Method (Biosis 2020)	34
Appendix 5: Striped Legless Lizard Survey: Mia Mia	36

DOCUMENT VERSION CONTROL

Document version	Date	Revisions/comments	Prepared by
202225.1	18/05/2022	First draft for internal discussion	Mal Wright
202225.1	15/06/2022	Second draft following internal comments – total habitat impact area 10.56 hectares	Mal Wright
202225.2	15/05/2023	Third draft following impact area change – total habitat impact area 12.64 hectares	Mal Wright
202225.3	29/06/2023	Fourth draft following offset area change – total offset area 33.2 hectares	Mal Wright
202225.4	21/08/2023	Revision to correct prescribed tile grid monitoring method errors in Table 6	Mal Wright



202225.5	7/11/2023	Figure 2 revised to correct an inconsistency with the approved SLL offset amount 33.2ha	Mal Wright
202225.6	20/11/2024	Minor typos and inconsistencies corrected	E Zorondo o/b/o Mal Wright



1. INTRODUCTION

MREH Pty Ltd, as trustee of the MREH Asset Trust, engaged Living Rural to prepare an Offset Management Plan to account for the anticipated residual loss of 12.64 hectares of habitat for Striped Legless Lizard (*Delma impar*), a Matter of National Environmental Significance (MNES), for the proposed Melton Renewable Energy Hub in Plumpton, Victoria. The Melton Renewable Energy Hub (MREH) has been declared a 'controlled action' by the Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) following a referral of the proposal under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC 2021/8908).

Impacts to Striped Legless Lizard (SLL) habitat associated with the MREH proposal will occur on two properties under separate ownership:

- The site of the proposed facility on MREH Pty Ltd land at 77-347 Holden Road, Plumpton, and
- A proposed transmission easement on an adjoining property to the south 27 Highwood Drive, Hillside.

Impacts to Striped Legless Lizard habitat on both properties have been determined by Ecolink Consulting (Ecolink 2023). Impacts in the Highwood Drive property drew from a biodiversity assessment and targeted surveys undertaken by Ecology and Heritage Partners (EHP 2020 & EHP 2022).

The Ecolink report details how impacts to biodiversity were avoided and minimised to the greatest degree possible – such as the minimisation of impacts to patches of native vegetation, and the avoidance of a small patch of the MNES *Natural Temperate Grassland of the Victorian Volcanic Plain*, in the Holden Road property.

Striped Legless Lizard (*Delma impar*) was recorded on the Holden Road property by way of tile grid surveys undertaken by Ecolink Consulting. Tile grids were placed in an area of rocky knolls dominated by introduced tussock grasses such as Serrated Tussock (*Nassella trichotoma*) and Chilean Needle-grass (*Nassella neesiana*) and a variety of other weeds, with only a low cover of native grasses. An area of 26.85 hectares of suitable habitat for the species was identified on the Holden Road property. With avoidance and minimisation, it has been identified that the project will have a *residual* impact on an area of 12.184 hectares of habitat for the species on the Holden Road property (Ecolink 2023).

An assessment of impacts to suitable habitat for the species in the Highwood Drive property, undertaken by Ecolink (Ecolink 2023), identified that the proposed transmission easement would result in the loss of a further 0.459 hectares of suitable habitat for the species.

Therefore, the total area of anticipated impacts to habitat for the species is 12.64 hectares.

This Offset Management Plan has been prepared to meet the anticipated EPBC Act offset requirements for impacts to the Striped Legless Lizard. It contains:

- A summary of the impacts to Striped Legless Lizard habitat at the impact sites
- Details of the offset site, including the locations of Striped Legless Lizard records and the extent of suitable habitat
- Details of how the proposed offset addresses the EPBC Act offset policy
- The proposed means of offset security
- Management actions required at the offset site, and
- Responsible parties and timeframes for implementing the plan.



Striped Legless Lizard (Delma impar) Offset Management Plan – EPBC 2021/8908

It has been prepared by Mal Wright of Living Rural based on the following assessments:

- Biodiversity assessments of the impact sites, including the outcomes of Striped Legless Lizard targeted surveys, undertaken by Ecolink Consulting Pty Ltd and Ecology & Heritage Partners
- Targeted surveys for Striped Legless Lizard in the offset site, undertaken by Vegetation Link Pty Ltd and Cassinia Environmental Pty Ltd (see report in Appendix 5), and
- Habitat assessments of the offset site undertaken by Mal Wright in April 2022.

This Offset Management Plan is guided by the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 – Environmental Offsets Policy*, dated October 2012.



2. OFFSET SITE SUITABILITY

Impacts on the Striped Legless Lizard

Impact sites details		
Location and address of clearing sites	Main facility: 77-347 Holden Road, Plumpton VIC 3335 Transmission easement: 27 Highwood Drive, Hillside, VIC 3037	
Proposed project	Melton Renewable Energy Hub (MREH)	
Quantity of MNES impact	12.64 hectares of habitat removal	

Offset site details

Landowner of offset site	Mia Mia Conservation Pty Ltd	
Location and address of offset site	Heathcote-Redesdale Road Mia Mia VIC 3444	
Area of offset site	33.2 hectares	
Volume/Folio	Two titles: 03737/391 & 02916/023	
Local Government Area	Greater Bendigo	
Victorian Bioregion	Goldfields	

The EPBC Act offset requirement for impacts to Striped Legless Lizard habitat will be achieved at a 415-hectare property located on the Heathcote-Redesdale Road in Mia Mia, Victoria (Figure 1). The property is zoned Farming Zone in the Greater Bendigo Planning Scheme.

The property was considered to potentially support Striped Legless Lizard due to the widespread presence of derived native grassland and both surface and embedded rock.

Subsequent targeted surveys were undertaken according to EPBC Act survey guidelines (DSEWPC 2011) by Vegetation Link and Cassinia Environmental Pty Ltd within the broad section of the property now proposed to house the offset. Targeted surveys across ten (10) tile-grid arrays, monitored over a 6-month period between late September 2021 and late March 2022; Striped Legless Lizard was detected at eight (8) out of the ten survey locations, with the highest number of four (4) Striped Legless Lizard recorded at a tile grid in the offset site at any one inspection (Vegetation Link 2022).

The 33.2-hectare offset site that is the subject of this Offset Management Plan will comprise four (4) zones, separated by a minor watercourse and two access track laneways (Figure 2). The offset site will be managed for conservation, with the creation of smaller paddocks for strategic grazing to promote the control of annual grassy weeds and overall biomass (see Section 4). Striped Legless Lizard were detected during the 2021-22 survey at four tile grids located in the proposed offset site.







Figure 1: Location of offset site

Legend





LIVING RURAL Bushfire & native vegetation assessments 0473 000 996 • mal@livingrural.com.au

> Prepared by: Mal Wright Date: 16/05/2022

Figure 2: Offset site (33.2 ha) and Striped Legless Lizard detections


Legend

- Property boundary
- -- Vehicle tracks
- Striped Legless Lizard detections - tile grid surveys
- Offset Site (4 zones)
 - Creek/drainage line





Prepared by: Mal Wright Date: 03/11/2023



Striped Legless Lizard known habitats

The following is taken from the National Recovery Plan for the species (Smith & Robertson 1999):

Until recently, D. impar was thought to inhabit only native grasslands dominated by species such as Stipa bigeniculata (Spear Grass) and Themeda triandra (Kangaroo Grass). In recent years, surveys have revealed D. impar in many sites dominated by exotic grasses such as Phalaris aquatica [Toowoomba Canary-grass], Nasella trichotoma [Serrated Tussock] and Hypochaeris radicata [Flatweed] (Corrigan et al. 1996, O'Shea 1996, Kukolic et al. 1994, Rauhala et al. 1995, Rauhala 1996, Hadden 1995, Coulson 1990). They have also been found in several secondary grassland sites (i.e., sites which were not historically grassland, but which have been cleared for grazing or agriculture). The presence of a relatively dense and continuous structure, rather than the floristic composition of the grasslands, may be important in influencing the persistence of D. impar.

It appears that while D. impar are restricted to grasslands and may occur in woodland, they are not restricted to native or primary grassland. The key to their survival in rural areas may be the availability of shelter during disturbance events (such as heavy grazing or perhaps even ploughing), from which they may be able to recolonise disturbed sites after the cessation of the disturbance (Dorrough 1995). This shelter may take the form of plant species which are relatively unpalatable to stock, such as Serrated Tussock or Juncus sp., road easements, less disturbed neighbouring land or even soil cracks and arthropod burrows in the short-term. It is not known if grassland dominated by introduced species can support D. impar populations in the long-term, but there is evidence that they do reproduce in these habitats (Rauhala et al. 1995, Corrigan et al. 1996).

The Conservation Advice for the species in the Australian Government's Species Profile and Threats Database (Threatened Species Scientific Committee 2016) cites the following:

[...] habitat critical to the survival of the striped legless lizard is likely to include sites that possess [...]

- complex grass structures including areas of tussocks with high biomass, surface rocks or invertebrate burrows necessary as sites for oviposition and which provide protection for eggs from disturbance. This may include sites with exotic grasses.
- foraging habitat [and]
- refuge from disturbance events [including] surface rocks, arthropod burrows or suitable cracks in the soil where lizards can escape trampling by livestock or fire.

Biodiversity values of the offset site

The property in which the offset site is to be located supports sedimentary soils on an undulating landscape. It has been historically cleared of trees across most of its extent and utilised for stock grazing using large paddock sizes due to the relatively low number of watering sources available.

The proposed offset site is situated in areas of derived native grassland in which Striped Legless Lizard was detected during the 2021-22 tile grid survey (Figure 2). This grassland is likely to have derived from the Victorian Ecological Vegetation Class *Low Rises* Grassy Woodland (EVC 175_61).

This derived native grassland is dominated by a number of tussocking native grass species, including spear grasses (*Austrostipa* spp.), tussock grasses (*Poa* spp.) and Kangaroo Grass (Themeda triandra), with a moderate to high cover of surface and embedded rock (Photo 1). It also supports a distinctive cover of Wattle Mat-rush – an indicator of its pre-clearance woodland vegetation type – that occurs in clumps that resemble large tussocks (Photo 2). Juncus also occurs at lower levels throughout with a similar clumping habit. This complex and often dense structure of tussocking and clumping grasses and graminoids is likely to contribute to the utilisation of the site by Striped Legless Lizard.





Photo 1: Typical grassland structure within the offset site



Photo 2: Clumps of Wattle Mat-rush (darker) amongst areas dominated by spear grass (lighter tussocks)



Introduced annual grasses occur at varying levels within the site amongst native grasses, and in some locations Toowoomba Canary-grass occurs at low cover. It is anticipated that more intensive management of areas of higher introduced grasses (Photo 3) to decrease the cover of introduced grasses and increase the cover of native grasses (Section 4).



Photo 3: Area of higher introduced annual grass cover requiring higher level of management

Scattered trees and small stands of trees occur within the offset site – consisting of Red Stringybark, Grey Box and Red Box – many of which are senescing (Photo 4).

Minor watercourses, drainage lines, and gullies were excluded from the offset site, given the relatively low cover of tussocking native grasses and surface rock, as well as the fact that higher soil-moisture content for these areas would lead to fewer cracks and arthropod burrows that provide habitat for the target species (Photo 5).





Photo 4: Scattered Red Stringybark in the offset site



Photo 5: Woodland in minor watercourse - excluded from the offset site

A vegetation quality assessment of the offset site was undertaken using the Victorian *habitat hectare* method to obtain a *habitat score* against the published benchmark for the pre-existing *Low Rises* Grassy Woodland (EVC 175_61) modelled to have occurred there. The habitat score was found to be 0.41 out of 1.



Note: vegetation quality assessment guidelines in Victoria require that the habitat is assessed against the benchmark for the pre-existing woodland EVC – resulting in a lower habitat score given the historic removal of tree canopy.

A full list of flora species recorded in the offset site, including botanical names, is provided in Appendix 1.

Threats to be managed in the offset site

Previous land management

The large size and lack of control mechanisms between paddocks on the property indicates that the site has been subject to uncontrolled, set-stock grazing, allowing livestock to graze flowering stems of native grasses and herbs and reducing seed set for these native species. Irregular stocking rates may have also contributed to a higher cover of introduced annual grasses. It is also likely that oversowing of these annual grasses has occurred previously.

It is anticipated that more intensive management of areas of higher introduced grasses using strategic grazing will decrease the cover of introduced grasses and increase the cover of native grasses in the offset site (Section 4).

Pest plants (weeds)

In addition to the introduced annual grasses observed during the habitat assessment undertaken for this Plan, the derived native grassland making up the offset site was also found to include a low to moderate cover of other herbaceous weed species that pose a threat to the quality of habitat if left untreated. These include oats, St Johns Wort, Toowoomba Canary-grass, Capeweed, Yorkshire Fog and, to a lesser extent, thistles such as Spear Thistle, Artichoke Thistle and Variegated Thistle.

A full list of weed species recorded in the offset site, including botanical names, is provided in Appendix 1.

Pest animals

European Rabbits were recorded in low numbers during the habitat assessment. This species can pose a threat to the survival of indigenous groundcover plants and can exacerbate erosion.

Meeting EPBC Act offset requirements

The EPBC Act Environmental Offset Policy (DSEWPC 2012) states that suitable offsets must meet the following requirements:

- Deliver an overall conservation outcome that improves or maintains the viability of the aspect of the environment that is protected by national environment law and affected by the proposed action
- Be built around direct offsets but may include other compensatory measures
- Be in proportion to the level of statutory protection that applies to the protected matter
- Be of a size and scale proportionate to the residual impacts on the protected matter
- Effectively account for and manage the risks of the offset not succeeding
- Be additional to what is already required, determined by law or planning regulations or agreed to under other schemes or programs



- Be efficient, effective, timely, transparent, scientifically robust and reasonable
- Have transparent governance arrangements including being able to be readily measured, monitored, audited and enforced.
- Be informed by scientifically robust information and incorporate the precautionary principle in the absence of scientific certainty
- Be conducted in a consistent and transparent manner.

The proposed offset site has been assessed against the requirements of the Commonwealth Offset Policy in Table 1.

Table 1: Meeting the EPBC Act offset requirements

EPBC Act offset policy requirement	Proposed Offset Site
Deliver an overall conservation outcome that improves or maintains the viability of the aspect of the environment that is protected by national environment law and affected by the proposed action	The offset site contains confirmed records of Striped Legless Lizard and significant areas of habitat suitable for the species
Be built around direct offsets but may include other compensatory measures	The offset is a direct offset involving the security and management of existing threatened species and species habitat
Be in proportion to the level of statutory protection that applies to the protected matter	The level of statutory protection is in proportion to the matter being impacted
Be of a size and scale proportionate to the residual impacts on the protected matter	The area of suitable habitat proposed for offset is more than twice the size of the area being impacted
Effectively account for and manage the risks of the offset not succeeding	Risks have been accounted for in the offset calculations (see Appendix 2 & Appendix 3)
Be additional to what is already required, determined by law or planning regulations or agreed to under other schemes or programs	The offset is situated on land zoned Farming, with no current restrictions in terms of the type of stock that can be farmed – i.e., intensive farming with higher-impact livestock such as cattle or goats is not disallowed. Environmental Significance Overlays covering the site relate only to proposed buildings or works; these overlays aim to protect waterways, groundwater recharge and water catchments.



EPBC Act offset policy requirement	Proposed Offset Site
Be efficient, effective, timely, transparent, scientifically robust and reasonable	The offset site will be secured on title by way of a Trust for Nature Covenant under the <i>Victorian Conservation Trust Act 1972</i> . This OMP provides the scientific rationale for the offset, including the quantum of the offset.
Have transparent governance arrangements including being able to be readily measured, monitored, audited and enforced.	This OMP provides the means of measuring improvement to the quality of the offset, including auditing. The offset will be enforceable under the EPBC Act.
Be informed by scientifically robust information and incorporate the precautionary principle in the absence of scientific certainty	Information of the clearing site and offset site has been compiled by experienced ecologists.
Be conducted in a consistent and transparent manner.	All details of the offset site have been provided to allow for transparency. Documentation has been consistent throughout.



3. CALCULATING EPBC ACT OFFSET REQUIREMENTS

Calculations were undertaken for each of the two properties that would be subject to the proposed impacts, using the calculator from the Offsets Assessment Guide (the 'Calculator') (DSEWPC 2012). These calculations were made to assist in the determination of whether the offsets proposed for the development would satisfy the Commonwealth offset requirements (Appendix 2).

Justification of the input values to the Calculators is provided in Appendix 3. Striped Legless Lizard habitat quality values are based on a methodology devised by Biosis Pty Ltd (Biosis 2020) as described in Appendix 4.

Holden Road property

Striped Legless Lizard habitat on the Holden Road property was determined by Ecolink Consulting to include areas characterised by embedded rock and cracking soils, a low cover and diversity of native grasses and herbs, and a high cover of weeds, including tussock-forming Serrated Tussock (*Nassella trichotoma*) and Chilean Needle-grass (*Nassella neesiana*) (Ecolink Consulting 2023).

The dominance of introduced tussock grasses in the area of Striped Legless Lizard at this impact site, considered in conjunction with the degraded and fragmented nature of the habitat, and the low number of records from targeted surveys, result in a quality score of 4 out 10 (Table 2).

Striped Legless Lizard Ha Quality Assessmen	Impact site quality		
	тах.	quanty	
Site condition	3	1	
Site context	4	2	
Species stocking rate	3	1	
Habitat quality	10	4	

Table 2: Habitat quality at the Holden Road impact site

Highwood Drive property

Striped Legless Lizard habitat on the approximately 42-hectare property at Highwood Drive was determined by Ecology & Heritage Partners (EHP 2020) to coincide with areas mapped as Plains Grassland. An area of approximately two-thirds of the 42-hectare property was found to support Plains Grassland – including a minor subset of areas within the mapped Plains Grassland that was assessed as conforming to the MNES *Natural Temperate Grassland of the Victorian Volcanic Plain* (NTGVVP).

Targeted surveys for Striped Legless Lizard undertaken in this area of suitable habitat involved the placement of ten (10) tile-grid arrays, monitored over a 3-month period between mid-October and mid-December 2021; Striped Legless Lizard was detected at four (4) out of the ten survey locations, with the highest number of two (2) Striped Legless Lizard recorded at a tile grid in this impact site at any one inspection (EHP 2022).

The vegetation quality assessment habitat scores at this impact site, considered in conjunction with a larger, more connected area of habitat and a higher species detection rate, result in a quality score of 7 out 10 (Table 3).



Striped Legless Lizard Ha Quality Assessmen	Impact site	
	тах.	quality
Site condition	3	2
Site context	4	3
Species stocking rate	3	2
Habitat quality	10	7

Mia Mia offset site

The Mia Mia offset site was found to have, *on average*, 'satisfactory' structural complexity, albeit with a reduced diversity and cover of native herbs, reflecting previous continuous grazing methods. These parameters would be likely to worsen if a future landholder was to implement highly intensive stocking rates; however, these parameters are anticipated to improve with the introduction of targeted management associated with the offset.

The effects of these anticipated changes to habitat quality with the offset compared to a future quality without the offset are shown in Table 4. The 'time until ecological benefit' is expected to be **10 years** as outlined in the EPBC Act Offset Guide calculator (Appendix 2).

Striped Legless Lizard habitat quality assessment		Offset site quality	Projected quality without offset	Projected quality with offset	
	тах.		without offset	with offset	
Site condition	3	2	1	3	
Site context	4	3	3 3		
Species stocking rate	3	3	3	3	
Habitat quality	Habitat quality 10		7	9	

Table 4: Habitat quality and projected gains at the offset site



4. OFFSET IMPLEMENTATION

Strategy for offset site

The offset site is to be secured and managed for the purposes of conservation in perpetuity, to be secured on title by way of a Trust for Nature Covenant under the *Victorian Conservation Trust Act 1972*. This Offset Plan will be implemented for a period of **10 years** from commencement, with ongoing land-use commitments and management actions to be implemented as described below.

Ongoing land-use commitments & management actions

The landowner commits to the following in perpetuity:

- Retain all native vegetation and logs/rocks
- Implement a biomass management strategy through grazing to promote an improvement in grassland structural complexity
- Control rabbits and foxes
- Ensure the cover of high threat weeds does not increase beyond the level it was at the inception of the Offset Management Plan
- Eliminate any new and emerging woody environmental weeds
- Maintain the improvements achieved at completion of this Offset Management Plan.

Baseline surveys

A baseline weed cover survey will be undertaken by an ecologist in all offset zones at the commencement of this Plan (see *Monitoring and reporting*). This baseline data will be used as a benchmark against which management actions are measured.

Management actions to be undertaken

Management actions to be undertaken within the offset site are described below with target outcomes. A management schedule is provided in Table 6.

Fencing

The offset zones will be located across two paddocks dedicated to strategic grazing with sheep for the control of annual grassy weeds (see 'Strategic grazing' below). These paddocks will be fenced using post-and-wire stock-proof fencing with gated access to prevent uncontrolled stock access and to facilitate strategic grazing.

Fencing Target: no uncontrolled stock access



Weed control

Methods such as grazing, burning and herbicide application are appropriate means to achieve the weed control targets. The means by which weed control targets are met will be ultimately determined by the landowner.

Weed control methods can include:

- Strategic grazing (described in more detail below), and/or
- The targeted use of appropriate herbicides i.e., spot-spraying.

Care must be taken to ensure that off-target damage is kept to a minimum when using herbicides.

Weed control Target 1: reduction in cover of annual grassy weeds

Weed control Target 2: no increase in cover of other weeds

Weed control Target 3: elimination of new and emerging woody weeds

A list of weed species to be controlled in the offset site is provided in Table 5.

Table 5: Weeds recorded in the offset site including control methods and timing

Scientific name	Common name	High threat	Method of control if required	Timing
Arctotheca calendula	Cape Weed	Yes	Spot-spray with appropriate herbicide Apply selective broadleaf herbicide to outbreaks Strategic grazing	Herbicide application prior to or during flowering period
Cirsium vulgare	Spear Thistle	Yes	Spot spray with appropriate herbicide or chip out	Spot spray in winter/spring Chip-out year-round
Cynara cardunculus	Artichoke Thistle	Yes	Spot spray with appropriate herbicide or chip out Manually remove seed heads	Spot spray in winter/spring Prior to flowering
Disa bracteata^	South African Orchid	Yes	Manually remove where possible	Prior to October flowering
Hypericum perforatum	St John's Wort	Yes	Spot spray with appropriate herbicide or chip out	Prior to spring flowering
Marrubium vulgare	Horehound	Yes	Spot spray with appropriate herbicide or chip out	Prior to September flowering
Phalaris aquatica	Toowoomba Canary-grass	Yes	Strategic grazing Spot spray with appropriate herbicide	Year-round as appropriate
Silybum marianum	Variegated Thistle	Yes	Spot spray with appropriate herbicide or chip out	Spot spray in winter/spring Chip-out year-round
Acetosella vulgaris	Sheep Sorrel	No	Spot spray outbreaks with appropriate herbicide	Prior to September flowering

LIVING RURAL Bushfire & native vegetation assessments

Scientific name	Common name	High threat	Method of control if required	Timing	
Avena spp.	Oat	No			
Briza maxima	Large Quaking- grass	No	No Grazing:		
Bromus hordeaceus	Soft Brome	No	Strategic grazing Ecological burning	predominantly August-September	
Lolium spp.	Rye Grass	No		Ecological burning:	
Unknown*	Other annual grasses	No		autumn or spring	
<i>Vulpia</i> spp.	Fescue	No			
Trifolium spp.	Clover	No			
Erodium cicutarium	Common Heron's-bill	No	Spot spray outbreaks with appropriate herbicide	Prior to September flowering	
Hypochaeris radicata	Flatweed	No	Spot spray outbreaks with appropriate herbicide	Year-round	
Romulea rosea	Onion Grass	No	Spot spray outbreaks with appropriate herbicide	Winter	

* Surveys for this Plan were conducted in late autumn when flowering material was not present ^ Reported by landowner to occur on the broader property

Biomass management/annual grassy weed control

Inter-tussock spaces at the offset site were observed to be largely occupied by introduced annual grasses and introduced herbs such as clovers (*Trifolium* spp.). Reducing the cover of these introduced annual grasses and herbs will increase the cover of bare ground and leaf litter, therefore promoting the germination of native herbs and grasses – therefore maintaining and increasing the structural diversity of this derived grassland that is important for Striped Legless Lizard habitat (TSSC 2016).

Biomass/annual grass control Target 1: reduction in cover of annual grassy weeds

Biomass/annual grass control Target 2: 20–40% inter-tussock spacing by late October

Strategic grazing

Strategic grazing with sheep will be the primary means of reducing the cover of annual grassy weed species. Grazing for this purpose is usually undertaken in August to September when introduced annual grasses and clovers are most palatable to stock and have not yet set seed. Conditions vary from year to year – therefore, decisions as to the appropriate timing of strategic grazing will be made by the landowner in consultation with Trust for Nature.

The stocking rate will be determined using an established grazing chart based on rainfall and available grass feed and adapted over time if stocking rates are found to reduce the quality of Striped Legless Lizard habitat.

Ecological burns

Ecological burns may be implemented periodically for biomass control to promote inter-tussock spacing if this is not being achieved by strategic grazing. The most appropriate time for burning is the



end of autumn to early winter (February through May) or in early spring (September) as the weather is cooler and will result in a low intensity burn.

Ecological burns will be undertaken in consultation with Trust for Nature. Patch burns <u>only</u> may be conducted, with no more than one third of the total offset site burnt in any one year so that unburnt areas provide areas of refuge for fauna. Burns will only be undertaken at a low frequency – i.e., every 3 to 5 years.

To maintain and improve the condition of the offset site, ecological burns will be followed by targeted spot spraying of weeds as required.

Pest animal control

All pest animals are to be monitored within the offset site for the life of the Plan (see *Monitoring and reporting*).

Suitable methods for the control of pest animals include:

- Shooting
- Baiting (rabbits/hares)
- Fumigating and hand collapsing of warrens, and
- Removal of harbour.

Shooting (year-round) is a suitable fox control method and is suitable for rabbit control method where populations are low. Baiting is an effective method for reducing pest rabbit populations and should be undertaken when their food source is low (February through May).

Fumigating when combined with hand collapsing of warrens is an effective control method. Warrens will be destroyed using a shovel, mattock or pick to avoid damage to native vegetation. Ripping of warrens using machinery is not permitted within the offset sites.

The removal of harbour such as rubbish and woody weeds will also reduce the habitat for pest animals and assist in their control. All logs, fallen branches, leaf litter and rocks must be retained.

Pest animal control target: pest animals monitored and controlled



Monitoring and reporting

Monitoring of grassland structure, weed cover and pest animals will be undertaken to ensure the successful implementation of this Plan and to inform adaptive management of the offset site.

Habitat condition monitoring

The Approval Holder is responsible for engaging a suitably qualified ecologist to conduct baseline monitoring of habitat condition within 3 months of the commencement of the in Year 1 and annual monitoring thereafter for the 10-year period of this Plan. Monitoring of habitat condition will be undertaken across eight (8) 20 x 20 metre quadrats – two (2) in each offset zone – to determine the following¹:

- The percentage cover and abundance of high threat weeds
- The combined percentage cover of annual grassy weeds, and
- Inter-tussock spacing i.e., the percentage cover of bare ground/litter/native herbs between native grass tussocks.

Photopoints will be established at each of the eight (8) quadrats during baseline surveys and photographic evidence of monitoring included in annual reporting.

Striped Legless Lizard monitoring

The Approval Holder is responsible for engaging a suitably qualified ecologist to monitor for Striped Legless Lizard populations in Years 2, 4, 7 and 10. Monitoring will be undertaken across four (4) tile grids² – one (1) in each offset zone – and the results from this monitoring included in the landowner annual report. Tile grids will be installed in June and at least 8 fortnightly checks will be undertaken between September and December (or March if no SLL presence is recorded during any of the surveys to check for the presence of sloughed skin), according to the EPBC Act survey guidelines (DSEWPC 2011).

Pest animal and fence monitoring

Regular monitoring for pest animals by the landowner should include:

- Quarterly inspections for burrows and other harbour, and
- Annual spotlight surveys of established transects.

Ongoing monitoring of fencing erected to facilitate strategic grazing will be undertaken by the landowner.

Annual reporting

The landowner will submit annual reports to Trust for Nature **two (2) months prior** to each anniversary of registration of the offset covenant, over the 10-year period of this Plan. Annual reports will also be provided to DAWE and MREH.

² It is considered that the higher number of tile grids per hectare required under the EPBC Act survey guidelines (DSEWPC 2011) used to determine *presence or absence* is not warranted given that the species has been detected at 3 locations in the offset site and is considered to be *present*.



¹ The use of the Victorian *habitat hectare* vegetation quality assessment method is <u>not</u> proposed, as this method is based on coarse-scale parameter scores and would be required to be made against a woodland benchmark in this derived grassland setting

Trust for Nature stewardship

Periodic site visits will be undertaken by Trust for Nature (approximately every 3 years during the initial 10 years) to determine whether the management actions are suitable to achieve the outcomes outlined in this Plan and offer technical assistance and advice.

Adaptive management

Adaptive management in the form of minor changes in management approach (e.g., grazing strategy) may occur in consultation with Trust for Nature.



Table 6: Management actions schedule

Year 1	Year 1							
Management Action	Timing	Target to be achieved	Responsible person	Completed (Yes/No)	Month and Year Completed			
Ensure paddock fencing to facilitate strategic grazing is in place and effectual Ongoing monitoring of fencing & gates	Within 3 months of the commencement of plan	No uncontrolled stock access	Landowner/fencing contractor					
 Undertake baseline habitat condition survey of the offset site across eight (8) quadrats to determine: The percentage cover and abundance of high threat weeds The combined percentage cover of annual grassy weeds, and Inter-tussock spacing – i.e., the percentage cover of bare ground/litter/native herbs between native grass tussocks. 	Within 3 months of the commencement of plan Aim for October/November	Habitat condition assessed and documented	Ecologist engaged by the Approval Holder					
Establish photopoints	In conjunction with quadrat survey	Eight (8) photopoints established – one for each quadrat	Ecologist engaged by the Approval Holder					
Monitor for pest animals and control if required	Quarterly inspection for burrows/harbour Annual spotlight transect surveys	Pest animals monitored and controlled as required	Monitoring: Ecologist engaged by the Approval Holder Control: landowner or nominated contractor					



Year 1	Year 1						
Management Action	Timing	Target to be achieved	Responsible person	Completed (Yes/No)	Month and Year Completed		
	During August– September (or	Reduction in annual grassy weed cover					
Strategic grazing to control of annual grassy weeds/biomass	extended period in consultation with	20–40% inter-tussock spacing by October/November	Landowner				
Control of other weeds through herbicide use or manual removal as required	See Table 5	Weed cover does not exceed initial baseline cover Any <u>woody</u> weeds eliminated	Landowner or landowner nominated contractor				
Annual report to be prepared documenting management actions undertaken and monitoring results	Report due no later than three months after end of Year 1	Report delivered to Trust for Nature 2 months prior to the anniversary of this Plan. Annual reports also provided to MREH and DAWE.	Landowner				



Years 2–10					
Management Action	Timing	Target to be achieved	Responsible person	Completed (Yes/No)	Month and Year Completed
Monitoring of fencing & gates Repairs carried out as required	Ongoing	No uncontrolled stock access	Landowner/fencing contractor		
Undertake habitat condition survey of the offset site across eight (8) quadrats to determine:					
 The percentage cover and abundance of high threat weeds The combined percentage cover of annual grassy weeds, and Inter-tussock spacing – i.e., the percentage cover of bare ground/litter/native herbs between native grass tussocks. 	Annually – aim for October/November	Habitat condition assessed and documented	Ecologist engaged by the Approval Holder		
Monitor photopoints	In conjunction with quadrat survey	Photos taken at each of eight (8) photopoints established	Ecologist engaged by the Approval Holder		



Years 2–10							
Management Action	Timing	Target to be achieved	Responsible person	Completed (Yes/No)	Month and Year Completed		
Undertake Striped Legless Lizard tile grid population monitoring over four (4) tile grids – one in each zone	Years 2, 4, 7 & 10 Tile grids established in June Tiles checked fortnightly between September and December (or March if no SLL presence is recorded during any of the surveys to check for the presence of sloughed skin)	Striped Legless Lizard population monitored according to the EPBC Act survey guidelines (DSEWPC 2011) and the results documented At least 8 fortnightly checks undertaken per tile grid	Ecologist engaged by the Approval Holder				
Monitor for pest animals and control if required	Quarterly inspection for burrows/harbour Annual spotlight transect surveys	Pest animals monitored and controlled as required	Monitoring: Ecologist engaged by the Approval Holder Control: landowner or nominated contractor				
Strategic grazing to control of annual grassy weeds/biomass	During August– September (or extended period in consultation with Trust for Nature)	Reduction in annual grassy weed cover 20–40% inter-tussock spacing by October/November	Landowner				

LIVING RURAL Bushfire & native vegetation assessments

Years 2–10							
Management Action	Timing	Target to be achieved	Responsible person	Completed (Yes/No)	Month and Year Completed		
Control of other weeds through herbicide use or manual removal as required	See Table 5	Weed cover does not exceed initial baseline cover Any <u>woody</u> weeds eliminated	Landowner or landowner nominated contractor				
Annual report to be prepared documenting management actions undertaken and monitoring results	Report due no later than three months after end of Year 1	Report delivered to Trust for Nature 2 months prior to the anniversary of this Plan. Annual reports also provided to MREH and DAWE.	Landowner				



5. REFERENCES

- Biosis 2020, Victorian Big Battery Storage Facility, 680 Ballan Road Moorabool, Victoria: Preliminary Documentation for EPBC Act 2020/8614, Report prepared for Neoen Australia Pty Ltd by Biosis Pty Ltd, Melbourne.
- Corrigan, A., Nelson, L. S., Shorthouse, D. & Smith, W. J. S. 1996, *Survey of section 107 Symonston for two vulnerable lizard species and salvage of the Striped Legless Lizard* (Delma impar) *population during the summer of 1995-96*, Internal Report 96/4, Wildlife Research Unit, ACT Parks and Conservation Service.
- Coulson, G. 1990, *Conservation Biology of the Striped Legless Lizard* (Delma impar): *an initial investigation,* Arthur Rylah Institute for Environmental Research Technical Report Series No. 106, Department of Conservation and Environment, Melbourne.
- Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities 2011, Survey guidelines for Australia's threatened reptiles Guidelines for detecting reptiles listed as threatened under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999, Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, Canberra.
- Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities 2012, Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 – Environmental Offsets Policy, October 2012, Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, Canberra.
- Ecolink Consulting 2023, Biodiversity Assessment, Melton Renewable Energy Hub, Holden Road, Plumpton, Victoria, Version K, May 2023, prepared for MREH Pty Ltd.
- Ecology & Heritage Partners 2020, *Biodiversity Assessment: 27 Highwood Drive, Hillside, Victoria*, August 2020, prepared for TPC Group Pty Ltd.
- Ecology & Heritage Partners 2022, *Targeted Surveys for Significant Flora and Fauna Species at 27 Highwood Drive, Hillside, Victoria*, March 2022, prepared for TPC Group Pty Ltd.
- Hadden, S. 1995, *Distribution, population habitat estimates and habitat requirements for the Striped Legless Lizard* Delma impar (*Kluge*), Report to the Australian Nature Conservation Agency. Department of Conservation & Natural Resources, Melbourne.
- Kukolic, K, McElhinney, N. & Osborne, W. S. 1994, Survey for the Striped Legless Lizard Delma impar during 1993 in the proposed development area E1 comprising sites for the Gungahlin Town Centre and the suburb of Franklin, Internal Report 94/3. Wildlife Research Unit, ACT Parks and Conservation Service.
- O'Shea, M. 1996, An ecological study of the population of Striped Legless Lizards Delma impar (Fischer 1882) inhabiting native and exotic grasslands in the north-east corner of the Albion Explosives Factory site (St. Albans, Victoria), Unpublished B.Sc. Honours thesis, Department of Environmental Management, Victoria University of Technology.
- Rauhala, M. A., Shorthouse, D. J., & Ingwersen, F. 1995, *The Striped Legless Lizard* Delma impar *in the Gungahlin, Majura and Jerrabomberra valleys. Incorporating: A report of the 1994 survey for Delma impar and options for the protection and conservation of Delma impar in the ACT, Internal Report 95/2, Wildlife Research Unit, ACT Parks and Conservation Service.*
- Rauhala, M. A. 1996, 1995 survey and monitoring program for the Striped Legless Lizard Delma impar, Internal Report 96/1, Wildlife Research Unit, ACT Parks & Conservation Service.
- Smith, W.J.S. & P. Robertson 1999, National Recovery Plan for the Striped Legless Lizard (Delma impar) 1999-2003, NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service & Wildlife Profiles Pty Ltd.



- Threatened Species Scientific Committee 2016, *Conservation Advice* Delma impar *striped legless lizard*, Canberra: Department of the Environment and Energy.
- Vegetation Link 2022, *Striped Legless Lizard Survey: Mia Mia Conservation Property*, prepared for Cassinia Environmental Pty Ltd.



Origin	Scientific Name	Common Name
*	Acetosella vulgaris	Sheep Sorrel
	Anthosachne scabra s.l.	Common Wheat-grass
*	Arctotheca calendula	Cape Weed
	Aristida behriana	Brush Wire-grass
	Austrostipa spp.	Spear Grass^
*	Avena spp.	Oat^
*	Briza maxima	Large Quaking-grass
*	Bromus hordeaceus	Soft Brome
	Bromus spp.	Brome^
*	Cirsium vulgare	Spear Thistle
*	Cynara cardunculus subsp. flavescens	Artichoke Thistle
*	Erodium cicutarium	Common Heron's-bill
	Eucalyptus macrorhyncha	Red Stringybark
	Eucalyptus microcarpa	Grey Box
	Eucalyptus polyanthemos	Red Box
	Gonocarpus tetragynus	Common Raspwort
	Hydrocotyle spp.	Pennywort
*	Hypericum perforatum subsp. veronense	St John's Wort
*	Hypochaeris radicata	Flatweed
	Juncus spp.	Rush
*	Lolium spp.	Rye Grass^
	Lomandra filiformis	Wattle Mat-rush
*	Marrubium vulgare	Horehound
	Melicytus dentatus s.l.	Tree Violet
	Microlaena stipoides var. stipoides	Weeping Grass
	Oxalis exilis	Shade Wood-sorrel
	Pelargonium rodneyanum	Magenta Stork's-bill
*	Phalaris aquatica	Toowoomba Canary-grass
	Poa sieberiana	Grey Tussock-grass
*	Romulea rosea	Onion Grass
	Rumex brownii	Slender Dock
	Rytidosperma spp.	Wallaby Grass^
*	Silybum marianum	Variegated Thistle
	Themeda triandra	Kangaroo Grass
*	Trifolium spp.	Clover
*	Vulpia spp.	Fescue^
	Wahlenbergia gracilis	Sprawling Bluebell

Appendix 1: Flora species recorded in the offset site

* introduced species

^ Surveys for this Plan were conducted in late autumn when flowering material was not present and some genera could not be identified to species level



Appendix 2: EPBC Act Offset Guide calculators – Holden Road impact site & Highwood Drive impact site



Offsets Assessment Guide For use in determining offsets under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999


2 October 2012

This guide relies on Macros being enabled in your browser.

Matter of National Environmental Significance				
Name	Striped Legless			
	Lizard			
EPBC Act status	Vulnerable			
Annual probability of extinction	0.2%			
Based on IUCN category definitions				

			Impact calcu	lator						
	Protected matter attributes	Attribute relevant to case?	Description	Quantum of impact		Units	Information source			
		Ecological communities								
				Area						
	Area of community	No		Quality						
				Total quantum of impact	0.00					
			Threatened sp	vecies habitat						
	Area of habitat Yes		Area	12.18	Hectares					
ulator		Yes	Proposed removal for the MREH - Holden Rd property	Quality	4	Scale 0-10	Ecolink Consulting SLL Survey Report (Dec 2020)			
Impact calcul				Total quantum of impact	4.87	Adjusted hectares				
Imp	Protected matter attributes	Attribute relevant to case?	Description	Quantum of imj	Quantum of impact		Information source			
	Number of features e.g. Nest hollows, habitat trees	No								
	Condition of habitat Change in habitat condition, but no change in extent	No								
			Threatene	ed species						
	Birth rate e.g. Change in nest success	No								
	Mortality rate e.g Change in number of road kills per year	No								
	Number of individuals e.g. Individual plants/animals	No								

	Protected matte
	Area of com
set calculator	Area of ha
Offs	Protected matte
	Number of features e.g. Nest hollows, ha
	Condition of habitat Change in habitat con change in extent
	Birth rate e.g. Change in nest s
	Mortality rate e.g Change in numbe per year
	Number of individu e.g. Individual plants



Key to Co

Drop-d

Calculate

Not applicable to attribute

cell Colours
out required
down list
ated output

									Offset of	calculato	r										
d matter attributes	Attribute relevant to case?	Total quantum of impact	Units	Proposed offset	Time hori (years)		Start are: qualit		Future are quality withe	ea and	Future are	ea and h offset	Raw gain	Confidence in result (%)	Adjusted gain	Net prese (adjusted l		% of impact offset	Minimum (90%) direct offset requirement met?	Cost (\$ total)	Information source
Ecological Communities																					
ea of community	No				Risk-related time horizon (max. 20 years)		Start area (hectares)		Risk of loss (%) without offset Future area without offset (adjusted hectares)	0.0	Risk of loss (%) with offset Future area with offset (adjusted hectares)	0.0									
					Time until ecological benefit		Start quality (scale of 0-10)		Future quality without offset (scale of 0-10)		Future quality with offset (scale of 0-10)										
									Threat	ened speci	es habitat										
					Time over which loss is		Start area		Risk of loss (%) without offset	0%	Risk of loss (%) with offset	0%									
rea of habitat	Yes	4.87	Adjusted hectares	Heathcote-Redesdale Road, Mia Mia VIC	averted (max. 20 years)	20	(hectares)	31.1	Future area without offset (adjusted hectares)	31.1	Future area with offset (adjusted hectares)	31.1	0.00	80%	0.00	0.00	4.88	100.08%	Yes		
					Time until ecological benefit	10	Start quality (scale of 0-10)	8	Future quality without offset (scale of 0-10)	7	Future quality with offset (scale of 0-10)	9	2.00	80%	1.60	1.57	-				
d matter attributes	Attribute relevant to case?	Total quantum of impact	Units	Proposed offset	Time hori (years)		Start va	llue	Future value offse		Future valu offse	ue with t	Raw gain	Confidence in result (%)	Adjusted gain	Net prese	nt value	% of impact offset	Minimum (90%) direct offset requirement met?	Cost (\$ total)	Information source
features llows, habitat trees	No																				
f habitat abitat condition, but no ctent	No																				
									Th	reatened s	pecies										
in nest success	No																				
ate in number of road kills	No																				
individuals 1al plants/animals	No																				

	Summary													
		Net			Cost (\$)									
matter attributes	Quantum of impact	present value of offset		Direct offset adequate?	Direct offset (\$)	Other compensatory measures (\$)	Total (\$)							
	0				\$0.00		\$0.00							
e	0				\$0.00		\$0.00							
dividuals	0				\$0.00		\$0.00							
atures	0				\$0.00		\$0.00							
nabitat	0				\$0.00		\$0.00							
at	4.8736	4.88	100.08%	Yes	\$0.00	N/A	\$0.00							
unity	0				\$0.00		\$0.00							
					\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00							

Offsets Assessment Guide For use in determining offsets under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999


2 October 2012

This guide relies on Macros being enabled in your browser.

Matter of National Environmental Significa	nce
Name	Striped Legless
	Lizard
EPBC Act status	Vulnerable
Annual probability of extinction	0.2%
Based on IUCN category definitions	

			Impact calcu	lator			
	Protected matter attributes	Attribute relevant to case?	Description	Quantum of imj	pact	Units	Information source
				Area			
	Area of community	No		Quality			
				Total quantum of impact	0.00		
			Threatened sp	vecies habitat			
				Area	0.459	Hectares	
culator	Area of habitat	Yes	Proposed removal for the MREH transmission line - Highwood Dve property	Quality	7	Scale 0-10	EHP reports (2020 & 2022)
Impact calcul			property	Total quantum of impact	0.32	Adjusted hectares	
Imp	Protected matter attributes	Attribute relevant to case?	Description	Quantum of imj	pact	Units	Information source
	Number of features e.g. Nest hollows, habitat trees	No					
	Condition of habitat Change in habitat condition, but no change in extent	No					
			Threatene	ed species			
	Birth rate e.g. Change in nest success	No					
	Mortality rate e.g Change in number of road kills per year	No					
	Number of individuals e.g. Individual plants/animals	No					

	Protected matte
	Area of com
et calculator	Area of ha
Offs	Protected matte
	Number of features e.g. Nest hollows, hal
	Condition of habitat Change in habitat cor change in extent
	Birth rate e.g. Change in nest su
	Mortality rate e.g Change in number per year
	Number of individua e.g. Individual plants/



Key to Co

Drop-d

Calculate

Not applicable to attribute

cell Colours
out required
down list
ated output

									Offset o	calculato	or										
natter attributes	Attribute relevant to case?	Total quantum of impact	Units	Proposed offset	Time horiz (years)		Start are quali		Future are quality withe		Future are quality with		Raw gain	Confidence in result (%)	Adjusted gain	Net preser (adjusted h		% of impact offset	Minimum (90%) direct offset requirement met?	Cost (\$ total)	Information source
									Ecolog	gical Com	munities										
f community	No				Risk-related time horizon (max. 20 years)		Start area (hectares)		Risk of loss (%) without offset Future area without offset (adjusted hectares)	0.0	Risk of loss (%) with offset Future area with offset (adjusted hectares)	0.0									
					Time until ecological benefit		Start quality (scale of 0-10)		Future quality without offset (scale of 0-10)		Future quality with offset (scale of 0-10)										
									Threate	ened speci	ies habitat										
of habitat	Yes	0.32	Adjusted hectares	Heathcote-Redesdale Road, Mia Mia VIC	Time over which loss is averted (max. 20 years)	20	Start area (hectares)	2.1	Risk of loss (%) without offset Future area without offset (adjusted hectares)	0%	Risk of loss (%) with offset Future area with offset (adjusted hectares)	0%	0.00	80%	0.00	0.00	0.33	102.51%	Yes		
					Time until ecological benefit	10	Start quality (scale of 0-10)	8	Future quality without offset (scale of 0-10)	7	Future quality with offset (scale of 0-10)	9	2.00	80%	1.60	1.57					
natter attributes	Attribute relevant to case?	Total quantum of impact	Units	Proposed offset	Time horiz (years)		Start v	alue	Future value offse		Future valu offse	ue with t	Raw gain	Confidence in result (%)	Adjusted gain	Net presei	ıt value	% of impact offset	Minimum (90%) direct offset requirement met?	Cost (\$ total)	Information source
tures vs, habitat trees	No																				
abitat tat condition, but no t	No																				
									Th	reatened s	pecies										
nest success	No																				
number of road kills	No																				
ividuals plants/animals	No																				

			Sur	nmary			
						Cost (\$)	
natter attributes	Quantum of impact	Net present value of offset	% of impact offset	Direct offset adequate?	Direct offset (\$)	Other compensatory measures (\$)	Total (\$)
	0				\$0.00		\$0.00
	0				\$0.00		\$0.00
lividuals	0				\$0.00		\$0.00
tures	0				\$0.00		\$0.00
abitat	0				\$0.00		\$0.00
t	0.3213	0.33	102.51%	Yes	\$0.00	N/A	\$0.00
unity	0				\$0.00		\$0.00
					\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Appendix 3: EPBC Act Offset Guide calculator justification of values

Holden Road impact site

Offset guide attribute	Value applied					Justification				
Area of habitat removed	12.18 hectares	Based on the area deterr	mined by	y Ecolir	nk Consultir	ng (Ecolink Consulting 2023)				
		Habitat Quality Asses	sment <i>max.</i>	quality		Justification				
Quality of habitat	4	Site condition	3	1		Poor site quality: species-poor ground flora; dominated by a few native or predominantly introduced tussock-forming grasses with no or very few native forbs				
removed as per Biosis (2020)	4	Site context	4	2		> 0.5 hectares contiguous habitat; subject to 5 or more threats impacting MNES				
		Species stocking rate	3	1		A maximum of one individual encountered under a tile grid during any one of seven monitoring events				
		Habitat quality	10		4					
		Habitat Quality Ass		nt max.	Impact si quality	lustitication				
Quality of habitat in offset	8	Site condition	Site condition		2	Satisfactory site quality: supports a moderately diverse ground flora; dominated by an average diversity of native tussock forming grasses and average diversity of native forbs				
(as per Biosis [2020])	U	Site context	ontext 4		3	> 0.5 hectares; habitat subject to only 1 threat impacting MNES (not currently subject to an appropriate biomass reduction)				
		Species stocking rate		3	3	Three or more individuals or sloughs encountered under a tile grid during any one of seven monitoring events				
		Habitat quality		10	8					

LIVING RURAL Bushfire & native vegetation assessments

Offset guide attribute	Value applied			Justification									
Time over which loss is averted	20 years	The offset site will be protected w purpose of conservation; the max				al protection of the of	fset site for the						
Area of offset	26.5 hectares	This offset area has been determi	ned based on the	other parameters in t	he calculator								
Risk of loss (%) without offset	0%	intensive, set-stocked grazing with	e offset is situated on land zoned Farming, with no current restrictions in terms of the type of stock that can be farmed; ensive, set-stocked grazing with higher-impact livestock such as cattle or goats is not disallowed and could result in degradation nabitat through over-grazing. Nevertheless, using a conservative approach, this value is set at zero.										
Risk of loss (%) with the offset	0%	The residual risk of protecting the	ne residual risk of protecting the property in perpetuity and managing for conservation is considered to be zero										
Time until ecological benefit	10 years		It is expected that within ten years management actions such as strategic grazing and weed control would have resulted in a measurable improvement to quality										
		Striped Legless Lizard habitat qu	Offset site quality	Projected quality	Projected quality								
			тах.	Onset site quality	without offset	with offset							
Comparison of		Site condition	3	2	1	3							
'site condition' – <u>without</u> and <u>with</u> the offset	2 Site condition 3 2 1 3 Projected quality without offset: An intensive set-stocked grazing regime in the absence of the offset would be likely to condition to <u>poor</u> – with low structural complexity with no or very few native forbs Projected quality with offset: The introduction of strategic grazing is expected to increase structural complexity of the h through the reduction of competition from annual introduced grasses, increasing the condition to <u>good</u> – supporting a and structurally complex ground flora dominated by an above average diversity of native tussock-forming grasses and a average native forbs												



Highwood Drive impact site

Offset guide attribute	Value applied					Justification				
Areas of habitat removed	0.459 hectares	Based on the areas	determi	ned by Ecoli	ink Consulting	Ecolink Consulting 2023)				
			Habitat Quality Assessment			Justification				
			max.	quality						
Quality of habitat removed as per	7	Site condition	3	2	Moderate site quality dominated by native grasses: moderate herb diversity; weed 25%					
Biosis (2020)		Site context	4	3	> 0.5 hectares contiguous habitat; subject to between 1 than 4 threats impacting M					
		Species stocking rate	3	2	A maximum of two individuals encountered under a tile grid during any one of sev monitoring events					
		Habitat quality	10	7						
		Habitat Quality Assessment			Impact site					
				max.	quality	Justification				
Quality of habitat in offset	8	Site condition		3	2	Satisfactory site quality: supports a moderately diverse ground flora; dominated by an average diversity of native tussock forming grasses and average diversity of native forbs				
(as per Biosis [2020])	0	Site context		4	3	> 0.5 hectares; habitat subject to only 1 threat impacting MNES (not currently subject to an appropriate biomass reduction)				
		Species stocking rate		3	3	Three or more individuals or sloughs encountered under a tile grid during any one of seven monitoring events				
		Habitat quality	labitat quality		8					
Time over which loss is averted	20 years		•			e Covenant which will result in perpetual protection of the offset site for the ars has been applied				

LIVING RURAL Bushfire & native vegetation assessments

Offset guide attribute	Value applied			Justification									
Area of offset	26.5 hectares	This offset area has been determined	d based on the	other parameters in t	he calculator								
Risk of loss (%) without offset	0%	The offset is situated on land zoned intensive, set-stocked grazing with h of habitat through over-grazing. Nev	igher-impact liv	estock such as cattle	or goats is not disallo	wed and could result							
Risk of loss (%) with the offset	0%	The residual risk of protecting the pr	residual risk of protecting the property in perpetuity and managing for conservation is considered to be zero										
Time until ecological benefit	10 years	It is expected that within ten years measurable improvement to quality	is expected that within ten years management actions such as strategic grazing and weed control would have resulted in a leasurable improvement to quality										
		Striped Legless Lizard habitat quali	ity assessment		Projected quality	Projected quality							
			тах.	Offset site quality	without offset	with offset							
Comparison of		Site condition	3	2	1	3							
'site condition' – <u>without</u> and <u>with</u> the offset	2	Projected quality without offset: An intensive set-stocked grazing regime in the absence of the offset would be likely to reduce the condition to <u>poor</u> – with low structural complexity with no or very few native forbs Projected quality with offset: The introduction of strategic grazing is expected to increase structural complexity of the habitat through the reduction of competition from annual introduced grasses, increasing the condition to <u>good</u> – supporting a species-rich and structurally complex ground flora dominated by an above average diversity of native tussock-forming grasses and above average native forbs											



Appendix 4: Striped Legless Lizard Habitat Quality Assessment Method (Biosis 2020)

Site Condition – out of 3

An assessment of the condition of the threatened species habitat within the project in relation to the ecological requirements of the threatened species. Based on vegetation structure, native plant cover, species richness and presence of habitat resources.

3 = Good - Site (on average) supports a species-rich and structurally complex ground flora (reflecting appropriate biomass management). Dominated by an above average diversity of native tussock-forming grasses and above average native forbs, together with embedded and/or surface rock.

2 = Satisfactory - Site (on average) supports a moderately diverse ground flora with good structural complexity (reflecting some biomass management). Dominated by an average diversity of native tussock forming grasses and average diversity of native forbs with or without embedded and/or surface rock.

1 = Poor - Site (on average) supports a species-poor ground flora with low structural complexity (reflecting inadequate biomass management). Dominated by a few native or predominantly introduced tussock-forming grasses with no or very few native forbs with or without embedded and/or surface rock.

Site Context – out of 4

An assessment of the relative importance of the patches of the threatened species habitat in terms of its position in the landscape based on patch size, connectivity and proximity to threats.

Threats Score out of 2	Connectivity Score out of 2
Threats that may impact upon Striped Legless Lizards:	1 = Site is < 0.5 ha
Site currently subject to continuous, intensive grazing by livestock or kangaroos, thereby	2 = Site is equal to > 0.5 ha
reducing the floristic and structural complexity of the habitat	
Site subject to frequent, widespread and intense fires, including deliberate burns that are not sympathetic to the maintenance of Striped Legless Lizard habitat	
Site subject to historical or ongoing ploughing, pasture improvement and agricultural	
intensification Site subject to historical or ongoing removal of surface and/or	
embedded or rock	
Site subject to frequent slashing thereby reducing the structural complexity of the habitat	
Site dominated by exotic grasses to the extent that the majority of the site is no longer	
defined as native vegetation	
Site currently not subject to any form of appropriate biomass reduction (e.g. low-	
moderate intensity grazing or sympathetic ecological burns to maintain structural and	
floristic diversity of the habitat)	
2 = Site subject to none of the above threats	
1 = Site subject to between one and four of the above threats	
0 = Site subject to five or more of the above threats	

LIVING RURAL Bushfire & native vegetation assessments

Species Stocking Rate – out of 3

An assessment of the density of the species across the area of suitable habitat. The method proposed by Biosis (2020b) uses the maximum number of Striped Legless Lizards detected at a tile grid during any one site survey as a surrogate for density. This includes counts of sloughs as well as actual lizards.

3 = Three or more individuals or sloughs encountered under the tile grid during any one of seven monitoring events.

2 = A maximum of two individuals or sloughs encountered under the tile grid during any one of seven

monitoring events.

1 = A maximum of one individual, or slough encountered under the tile grid during any one of seven

monitoring events.



Striped Legless Lizard (*Delma impar*) Offset Management Plan – EPBC 2021/8908

Appendix 5: Striped Legless Lizard Survey: Mia Mia



Striped Legless Lizard Survey: Mia Mia Conservation Property

Report date: 11 May 2022

Report prepared by:

Sid Larwill

Objective

To determine if Striped Legless Lizard occurs on the Mia Mia Conservation property.



Figure 1 Striped Legless Lizard Delma imar

Background

Mia Mia Conservation Pty Ltd own a property at Heathcote-Redesdale Road, Mia Mia, Victoria. The property comprises 14 Lots as outlined in the Table below.

Vegetation Link Pty Ltd ABN: 92 169 702 032 www.vegetationlink.com.au

Vol#	Folio #
02916	023
02116	125
00937	338
03861	027
03737	391
01292	268
02153	515
05914	666
01351	005
01292	269
03110	881
02761	062
02215	968
03080	808

Table 1: Lots underlying property surveyed for Striped Legless Lizard, Heathcote-Redesdale Road, Mia Mia, Victoria.

Mia Mia Conservation Pty Ltd is in the process of planning future land use and management of the property including consideration of:

- Land rehabilitation with a focus on active erosion areas;
- Establishment of biodiversity offset sites;
- On-going primary production with a focus on regenerative ag;

In the past the property has been used for grazing. Although the majority of the site is cleared of trees, it supports a mixture of vegetation types and landscape features:

- Substantial woodland remnants including examples of the federally listed Grey Box Grassy Woodland confined predominantly to upper slopes and hill tops, as well as patches of other woodland associations along drainage lines and unmade road reserves;
- Extensive native grassland (derived grassland) dominated by *Stipa, Danthonia, Poa and Themeda spp.*;
- Restricted areas dominated by exotic pasture grasses and other non-native species.

Vegetation Link provides periodic advice to Mia Mia Conservation Pty Ltd and its parent company on the biodiversity offset market. We initiated a tile grid survey of the Mia Mia Conservation property to determine whether Striped Legless Lizard *Delma impar* occurred on the site.

Method

Protocols were followed for "artificial shelter site surveys" as per Commonwealth of Australia (2011).

Ten tile grid arrays were installed across the property during the month of June 2021. Locations were chosen with a preference for derived grassland habitat on north facing slopes, with dominance of native tussock grasses and the presence of surface rock. Tile grids consisted of 50 tiles spaced at five metre intervals in a 5x10 array.

The tile grid locations are presented in Figure 2 and latitude and longitude references for each tile grid location is presented in Table 2.

Tile Grid #	Longitude	Latitude
1	144.612864	-36.971459
2	144.616147	-36.976401
3	144.614530	-36.977470
4	144.617100	-36.978258
5	144.618876	-36.980271
6	144.619117	-36.985227
7	144.618746	-36.985470
8	144.617025	-36.984666
9	144.627371	-36.984053
10	144.629571	-36.993919

Table 2: Tile Grid Locations

Checking of tile grids commenced on 28th September 2021. The tile grids were checked 16 times over a six-month survey period ending on 28th March 2022. Tiles were then removed and stockpiled on site.

Results

Striped Legless Lizard was recorded across much of the property (Refer to Table 3 and Figure 2).

There was a total of 44 detections of Striped Legless Lizard occurring at 8 out of the ten grid arrays (Table 3). Complete results are presented in Appendix 1.

Non target species were identified w

Array Number	Total SLL	Unidentified Reptile	Bluetongue Lizard	Brown Snake	Bougainville Skink	Fat-tailed Dunnart
1	0	1	1	0	0	0
2	5	2	1	0	0	1
3	16	2	0	0	0	0
4	1	4	0	0	0	0
5	2	8	1	1	0	0
6	5	1	1	1	0	1
7	4	0	0	0	0	0
8	10	0	1	0	0	1
9	1	0	0	0	0	2
10	0	0	0	0	1	0

Table 3: Results of tile grid survey summarized by tile grid number




Figure 2 Tile Grid Locations Mia Mia Conservation Property.

Conclusions

Striped Legless Lizard occurs in significant numbers across much of the Mia Mia Conservation Property.

The species was not recorded in tile grids in the northwest corner and southern-most portion of the property and only one tile grid was located in the eastern half of the property. Further survey work using tile grids in previously unsurveyed locations would provide an expanded picture of distribution of the species across the breadth of the property.

The presence of the Striped Legless Lizard on the property should be considered in future management.

Acknowledgements

The tile grid survey was conducted under the terms of DELWP Wildlife Research Permit #10010146. The survey was co-ordinated by Sid Larwill (Vegetation Link). Tile grid site selection and installation was done by Sid Larwill, Kim Cornford and Caitlin Symon. Tile grid checking was done by John O'Sullivan, Caitlin Symon, Danah Leary, Josh Smith, Adeline Chew, Ray De Mack, Laura Barsdell and Kim Cornford (all of Cassinia Environmental Pty Ltd). Data collation by Julie Groce (Vegetation Link) and Adeline Chew (Cassinia Environmental).

References

Commonwealth of Australia (2011) *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* referral guidelines for the vulnerable striped legless lizard, *Delma impar*, Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, Canberra.

		Tem	э. (°С)		Cloud cover	Precipitation	Array		Nu	umber of:	
Date	Conditions	Min.	Max.	Wind	(%)	(mm)	number	Time	Striped Legless Lizard	Other reptiles	Fat- tailed Dunnarts
28/9/21	clear, sunny	10	22	6km/h NE	20	0	1	12:01	0	0	0
28/9/21	clear, sunny	10	22	6km/h NE	20	0	2	12:22	0	0	0
28/9/21	clear, sunny	10	22	6km/h NE	20	0	3	12:45	0	0	0
28/9/21	clear, sunny	10	22	6km/h NE	20	0	4	13:00	0	0	0
28/9/21	clear, sunny	10	22	6km/h NE	20	0	5	13:40	0	0	0
28/9/21	clear, sunny	10	22	6km/h NE	20	0	6	13:53	0	0	0
28/9/21	clear, sunny	10	22	6km/h NE	20	0	7	14:02	0	0	0
28/9/21	clear, sunny	10	22	6km/h NE	20	0	8	14:14	0	0	0
28/9/21	clear, sunny	10	22	6km/h NE	20	0	9	14:40	0	0	0
28/9/21	clear, sunny	10	22	6km/h NE	20	0	10	15:02	0	0	0
6/10/21	clear, sunny	5	21	17km/h N	5	0	1	12:09	0	0	0
6/10/21	clear, sunny	5	21	17km/h N	5	0	2	12:18	0	0	0
6/10/21	clear, sunny	5	21	17km/h N	5	0	3	12:28	0	0	0
6/10/21	clear, sunny	5	21	17km/h N	5	0	4	12:35	0	0	0
6/10/21	clear, sunny	5	21	17km/h N	5	0	5	12:41	0	0	0
6/10/21	clear, sunny	5	21	17km/h N	5	0	6	12:55	0	1	0
6/10/21	clear, sunny	5	21	17km/h N	5	0	7	12:59	0	0	0
6/10/21	clear, sunny	5	21	17km/h N	5	0	8	13:05	0	0	0
6/10/21	clear, sunny	5	21	17km/h N	5	0	9	14:12	0	0	0
6/10/21	clear, sunny	5	21	17km/h N	5	0	10	14:23	0	0	0
20/10/21	clear, sunny	6	21	14km/h E	0	0	1	12:30	0	0	0
20/10/21	clear, sunny	6	21	14km/h E	0	0	2	12:41	0	0	0
20/10/21	clear, sunny	6	21	14km/h E	0	0	3	12:50	0	0	0

Appendix 1 Tile Grid Array Data

		Tem	p. (°C)	Wind	Cloud cover	Precipitation	Array		Nu	umber of:	
Date	Conditions	Min.	Max.		(%)	(mm)	number	Time	Striped Legless Lizard	Other reptiles	Fat- tailed Dunnarts
20/10/21	clear, sunny	6	21	14km/h E	0	0	4	13:00	0	0	0
20/10/21	clear, sunny	6	21	14km/h E	0	0	5	13:05	0	0	0
20/10/21	clear, sunny	6	21	14km/h E	0	0	6	13:45	0	0	0
20/10/21	clear, sunny	6	21	14km/h E	0	0	7	13:55	0	0	0
20/10/21	clear, sunny	6	21	14km/h E	0	0	8	14:00	0	0	0
20/10/21	clear, sunny	6	21	14km/h E	0	0	9	14:15	0	0	0
20/10/21	clear, sunny	6	21	14km/h E	0	0	10	14:25	0	0	0
27/10/21	sunny, slight haze	12	26	6km/h NE	0	0	1	9:35	0	0	0
27/10/21	sunny, slight haze	12	26	6km/h NE	0	0	2	9:50	0	1	0
27/10/21	sunny, slight haze	12	26	6km/h NE	0	0	3	10:00	4	0	0
27/10/21	sunny, slight haze	12	26	6km/h NE	0	0	4	10:23	0	0	0
27/10/21	sunny, slight haze	12	26	6km/h NE	0	0	5	10:39	0	0	0
27/10/21	sunny, slight haze	12	26	6km/h NE	0	0	6	10:54	0	0	0
27/10/21	sunny, slight haze	12	26	6km/h NE	0	0	7	11:02	0	0	0
27/10/21	sunny, slight haze	12	26	6km/h NE	0	0	8	11:11	0	0	0
27/10/21	sunny, slight haze	12	26	6km/h NE	0	0	9	12:44	0	0	0
27/10/21	sunny, slight haze	12	26	6km/h NE	0	0	10	14:21	0	0	0
1/11/21	clear, sunny	8	29	5km/h NE	0	0	1	8:08	0	0	0
1/11/21	clear, sunny	8	29	5km/h NE	0	0	2	8:19	0	0	0
1/11/21	clear, sunny	8	29	5km/h NE	0	0	3	8:28	3	0	0
1/11/21	clear, sunny	8	29	5km/h NE	0	0	4	8:53	0	1	0
1/11/21	clear, sunny	8	29	5km/h NE	0	0	5	9:04	0	0	0
1/11/21	clear, sunny	8	29	5km/h NE	0	0	6	9:15	0	0	0
1/11/21	clear, sunny	8	29	5km/h NE	0	0	7	9:20	2	0	0
1/11/21	clear, sunny	8	29	5km/h NE	0	0	8	9:41	2	0	0
1/11/21	clear, sunny	8	29	5km/h NE	0	0	9	9:59	0	0	0

		Tem	o. (°C)		Cloud cover	Precipitation	Array		Number of:		
Date	Conditions	Min.	Max.	Wind	(%)	(mm)	number	Time	Striped Legless Lizard	Other reptiles	Fat- tailed Dunnarts
1/11/21	clear, sunny	8	29	5km/h NE	0	0	10	10:17	0	1 (Bougainville's skink)	0
9/11/21	clear, sunny	9	21	8km/h S	0	0	1	9:40	0	0	0
9/11/21	clear, sunny	9	21	8km/h S	0	0	2	9:52	0	0	0
9/11/21	clear, sunny	9	21	8km/h S	0	0	3	10:02	4	1	0
9/11/21	clear, sunny	9	21	8km/h S	0	0	4	10:17	1	0	0
9/11/21	clear, sunny	9	21	8km/h S	0	0	5	10:29	0	1 (brown snake)	0
9/11/21	clear, sunny	9	21	8km/h S	0	0	6	10:43	0	0	1
9/11/21	clear, sunny	9	21	8km/h S	0	0	7	10:53	0	0	0
9/11/21	clear, sunny	9	21	8km/h S	0	0	8	11:02	1	0	0
9/11/21	clear, sunny	9	21	8km/h S	0	0	9	11:21	0	0	1
9/11/21	clear, sunny	9	21	8km/h S	0	0	10	11:41	0	0	0
16/11/21	cloudy	5	18	17km/h S	20	0	1	9:11	0	0	0
16/11/21	cloudy	5	18	17km/h S	20	0	2	9:25	3	0	0
16/11/21	cloudy	5	18	17km/h S	20	0	3	9:36	1	0	0
16/11/21	cloudy	5	18	17km/h S	20	0	4	9:48	0	1	0
16/11/21	cloudy	5	18	17km/h S	20	0	5	10:00	1	1	0
16/11/21	cloudy	5	18	17km/h S	20	0	6	10:17	0	0	0
16/11/21	cloudy	5	18	17km/h S	20	0	7	10:28	0	0	0
16/11/21	cloudy	5	18	17km/h S	20	0	8	10:39	4	1 (blue tongue)	0
16/11/21	cloudy	5	18	17km/h S	20	0	9	10:57	0	0	1
16/11/21	cloudy	5	18	17km/h S	20	0	10	11:11	0	0	0
23/11/21	cloudy, slight breeze	15	23	20km/h N	100	0	1	9:02	0	1	0
23/11/21	cloudy, slight breeze	15	23	20km/h N	100	0	2	9:15	2	1	0
23/11/21	cloudy, slight breeze	15	23	20km/h N	100	0	3	9:27	2	0	0
23/11/21	cloudy, slight breeze	15	23	20km/h N	100	0	4	9:37	0	1	0
23/11/21	cloudy, slight breeze	15	23	20km/h N	100	0	5	9:47	1	1	0

		Tem	p. (°C)		Cloud cover	Precipitation	Array		Nu	umber of:	
Date	Conditions	Min.	Max.	Wind	(%)	(mm)	number	Time	Striped Legless Lizard	Other reptiles	Fat- tailed Dunnarts
23/11/21	cloudy, slight breeze	15	23	20km/h N	100	0	6	10:00	2	0	0
23/11/21	cloudy, slight breeze	15	23	20km/h N	100	0	7	10:10	0	0	0
23/11/21	cloudy, slight breeze	15	23	20km/h N	100	0	8	10:20	2	0	0
23/11/21	cloudy, slight breeze	15	23	20km/h N	100	0	9	-	-	-	-
23/11/21	cloudy, slight breeze	15	23	20km/h N	100	0	10	-	-	-	-
15/12/21	cloudy, slight breeze	13	22	13km/h	100	0-4	1	9:15	0	0	0
15/12/21	cloudy, slight breeze	13	22	13km/h	100	0-4	2	9:28	0	0	0
15/12/21	cloudy, slight breeze	13	22	13km/h	100	0-4	3	9:37	1	0	0
15/12/21	cloudy, slight breeze	13	22	13km/h	100	0-4	4	9:46	0	0	0
15/12/21	cloudy, slight breeze	13	22	13km/h	100	0-4	5	9:56	0	1 (blue tongue)	0
15/12/21	cloudy, slight breeze	13	22	13km/h	100	0-4	6	10:09	2	0	0
15/12/21	cloudy, slight breeze	13	22	13km/h	100	0-4	7	10:15	2	0	0
15/12/21	cloudy, slight breeze	13	22	13km/h	100	0-4	8	10:27	1	0	0
15/12/21	cloudy, slight breeze	13	22	13km/h	100	0-4	9	10:46	1	0	0
15/12/21	cloudy, slight breeze	13	22	13km/h	100	0-4	10	10:58	0	0	0
18/1/22	sunny	12	23	20km/h s	10	0	1	9:11	0	0	0
18/1/22	sunny	12	23	20km/h s	10	0	2	9:21	0	0	0
18/1/22	sunny	12	23	20km/h s	10	0	3	9:27	1	0	0
18/1/22	sunny	12	23	20km/h s	10	0	4	9:35	0	0	0
18/1/22	sunny	12	23	20km/h s	10	0	5	9:41	0	0	0
18/1/22	sunny	12	23	20km/h s	10	0	6	9:51	1	0	0
18/1/22	sunny	12	23	20km/h s	10	0	7	10:15	0	0	0
18/1/22	sunny	12	23	20km/h s	10	0	8	10:31	0	0	0
18/1/22	sunny	12	23	20km/h s	10	0	9	10:42	0	0	0
18/1/22	sunny	12	23	20km/h s	10	0	10	11:30	0	0	0
27/1/22	overcast	20	31	3.5km/h NE	100	25	1	9:30	0	0	0

Date	Conditions	Tem	p. (°C)		Cloud cover (%)	Precipitation (mm)	Array number		Number of:		
		Min.	Max.	Wind				Time	Striped Legless Lizard	Other reptiles	Fat- tailed Dunnarts
27/1/22	overcast	20	31	3.5km/h NE	100	25	2	9:43	0	0	0
27/1/22	overcast	20	31	3.5km/h NE	100	25	3	9:50	0	0	0
27/1/22	overcast	20	31	3.5km/h NE	100	25	4	9:57	0	0	0
27/1/22	overcast	20	31	3.5km/h NE	100	25	5	10:09	0	0	0
27/1/22	overcast	20	31	3.5km/h NE	100	25	6	10:17	0	0	0
27/1/22	overcast	20	31	3.5km/h NE	100	25	7	10:27	0	0	0
27/1/22	overcast	20	31	3.5km/h NE	100	25	8	10:40	0	0	0
27/1/22	overcast	20	31	3.5km/h NE	100	25	9	10:52	0	0	0
27/1/22	overcast	20	31	3.5km/h NE	100	25	10	11:00	0	0	0
15/2/22	sunny	15	32	21km/h S	0	0	1	9:55	0	0	0
15/2/22	sunny	15	32	21km/h S	0	0	2	10:03	0	1 (blue tongue)	1
15/2/22	sunny	15	32	21km/h S	0	0	3	10:11	0	0	0
15/2/22	sunny	15	32	21km/h S	0	0	4	10:18	0	0	0
15/2/22	sunny	15	32	21km/h S	0	0	5	10:26	0	0	0
15/2/22	sunny	15	32	21km/h S	0	0	6	10:36	0	1 (brown snake)	0
15/2/22	sunny	15	32	21km/h S	0	0	7	10:43	0	0	0
15/2/22	sunny	15	32	21km/h S	0	0	8	10:50	0	0	0
15/2/22	sunny	15	32	21km/h S	0	0	9	11:03	0	0	0
15/2/22	sunny	15	32	21km/h S	0	0	10	11:13	0	0	0
22/2/22	clear	9	30	25km/h s	0	0	1	11:27	0	0	0
22/2/22	clear	9	30	25km/h s	0	0	2	11:36	0	0	0
22/2/22	clear	9	30	25km/h s	0	0	3	10:44	0	0	0
22/2/22	clear	9	30	25km/h s	0	0	4	11:52	0	0	0
22/2/22	clear	9	30	25km/h s	0	0	5	12:00	0	1	0
22/2/22	clear	9	30	25km/h s	0	0	6	12:37	0	0	0
22/2/22	clear	9	30	25km/h s	0	0	7	12:42	0	0	0

Date	Conditions	Temp. (°C)			Cloud cover	Precipitation	Array		Number of:		
		Min.	Max.	Wind	(%)	(mm)	number	Time	Striped Legless Lizard	Other reptiles	Fat- tailed Dunnarts
22/2/22	clear	9	30	25km/h s	0	0	8	12:48	0	0	0
22/2/22	clear	9	30	25km/h s	0	0	9	13:01	0	0	0
22/2/22	clear	9	30	25km/h s	0	0	10	13:09	0	0	0
8/3/22	clear, windy	12	19	40km/h S	30	0	1	11:05	0	1 (blue tongue)	0
8/3/22	clear, windy	12	19	40km/h S	30	0	2	11:13	0	0	0
8/3/22	clear, windy	12	19	40km/h S	30	0	3	11:19	0	0	0
8/3/22	clear, windy	12	19	40km/h S	30	0	4	11:27	0	1	0
8/3/22	clear, windy	12	19	40km/h S	30	0	5	11:33	0	2	0
8/3/22	clear, windy	12	19	40km/h S	30	0	6	11:42	0	1 (blue tongue)	0
8/3/22	clear, windy	12	19	40km/h S	30	0	7	11:48	0	0	0
8/3/22	clear, windy	12	19	40km/h S	30	0	8	11:54	0	0	1
8/3/22	clear, windy	12	19	40km/h S	30	0	9	12:08	0	0	0
8/3/22	clear, windy	12	19	40km/h S	30	0	10	12:18	0	0	0
15/3/22	overcast	14	23	20km/h N	100	5	1	11:53	0	0	0
15/3/22	overcast	14	23	20km/h N	100	5	2	12:01	0	0	0
15/3/22	overcast	14	23	20km/h N	100	5	3	12:08	0	0	0
15/3/22	overcast	14	23	20km/h N	100	5	4	12:15	0	1	0
15/3/22	overcast	14	23	20km/h N	100	5	5	12:22	0	2	0
15/3/22	overcast	14	23	20km/h N	100	5	6	12:29	0	0	0
15/3/22	overcast	14	23	20km/h N	100	5	7	12:35	0	0	0
15/3/22	overcast	14	23	20km/h N	100	5	8	12:40	0	0	0
15/3/22	overcast	14	23	20km/h N	100	5	9	12:52	0	0	0
15/3/22	overcast	14	23	20km/h N	100	5	10	13:04	0	0	0
28/3/22	overcast	12	26	8km/h NE	50	0	1	12:22	0	0	0
28/3/22	overcast	12	26	8km/h NE	50	0	2	13:19	0	0	0
28/3/22	overcast	12	26	8km/h NE	50	0	3	13:30	0	1	0

Date	Conditions	Temp. (°C)			Cloud cover	Precipitation	Array	Number of:				
		Min.	Max.	Wind	(%)	(mm)	number	Time	Striped Legless Lizard	Other reptiles	Fat- tailed Dunnarts	
28/3/22	overcast	12	26	8km/h NE	50	0	4	13:45	0	0	0	
28/3/22	overcast	12	26	8km/h NE	50	0	5	13:56	0	1	0	
28/3/22	overcast	12	26	8km/h NE	50	0	6	14:14	0	0	0	
28/3/22	overcast	12	26	8km/h NE	50	0	7	14:23	0	0	0	
28/3/22	overcast	12	26	8km/h NE	50	0	8	14:42	0	0	0	
28/3/22	overcast	12	26	8km/h NE	50	0	9	15:04	0	0	0	
28/3/22	overcast	12	26	8km/h NE	50	0	10	15:21	0	0	0	